



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,659	10/22/2007	James Hardwick	21412YP	1323
210 MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907	7590 12/30/2009			
EXAMINER				
WESSENDORF, TERESA D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1639				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/30/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/593,659

**Applicant(s)**

HARDWICK ET AL.

**Examiner**

TERESA WESSENDORF

**Art Unit**

1639

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/22)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a method for determining the proliferative status of a population of endothelial cells comprising: a) providing an array comprising a substrate having a plurality of addresses, wherein each address has disposed thereon a capture probe or oligonucleotide that can specifically bind an endothelial cell proliferative biomarker; b) preparing a nucleic acid test sample from a population of endothelial cells; c) contacting the nucleic acid sample with the array; and d) determining an expression profile by detecting binding of the nucleic acids in the test sample to each address of the plurality of addresses present on the array, thereby determining the proliferative rate of the endothelial cells.

Group II, claim(s) 8-12, drawn to a method for screening a plurality of therapeutic agents for anti-angiogenic activity comprising: contacting a compound with a population of cells containing a polynucleotide comprising a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of the biomarkers identified in Tables 4 and Table 5 under conditions wherein said polynucleotide is being expressed, and determining a change in.

Group III, claim(s) 13, drawn to an array comprising a substrate having a plurality of addresses, wherein each address has disposed thereon a capture probe or oligonucleotide that can specifically bind a polynucleotide sequence of a biomarker gene

Art Unit: 1639

selected from the group consisting of the genes comprising a proliferation signature defined in Table 3 and 4.

Group IV, claim(s) 14, drawn to a composition comprising the biomarker genes comprising the proliferation signatures set forth in Table 3 or Table 4.

Group V, claim(s) 15, drawn to a composition comprising the biomarker genes comprising the expression signatures set forth in Table 5 or Table 6.

Group VI, claim(s) 16, drawn to an endothelial cell proliferation signature comprising Angpt-2, Clu (ApoJ), Cyr61 (CCN1), Endrb (Etb), Ifit-3 (Garg49), Fut-4, and Plau (uPA) genes.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: group I lack the special technical features of group II. Group I determines an expression profile for the proliferation rate of endothelial cells comprising the recited steps therein. These steps are not the same as the steps of screening for a plurality of therapeutic agents recited in claim 8. The screening steps results in identifying either a single product or multiple produces as therapeutic agents useful as drugs.

The inventions listed as Groups (I-II) and (III-VI) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: groups I-II drawn to methods of identifying and screening containing process steps lack the special technical features of groups III-VI drawn to compounds of distinct structures.

The inventions listed as Groups III and (IV-VI) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: group III lack the special technical teaches of the composition since the composition of groups IV-VI do not contain a substrate or solid support, a special feature of group III, array.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For group I: (Please note that for a proper species election applicants are to elect one species from 1, 2 and so on as given below):

1. A species of gene sequences as set forth in either Table 3 or 4 i.e., a single species of gene sequence as recited in e.g., claim 2.

2. Oligonucleotide to which array binds thereto as recited in claim 3.

3. Patient being treated for either a KDR kinase inhibitor (claim 6) or compound A (claim 7).

For group II: (Please note that for a proper species election applicants are to elect one species from 1, 2 and so on as given below):

1. Biomarkers as identified in either table 4 or 5.
2. Hybridization binding either to endothelial cell biomarker or RT-PCR as recited in claim 11.
3. Oligonucleotide binding to the compounds recited in claim 12.

For Groups III and IV:

A gene species as recited in either Table 3 or 4 in claim 13 and 14, respectively.

For Group V:

A gene species as recited in either Table 5 or 6 as recited in claim 15.

For Group VI:

A species of endothelial cell proliferation signature as recited in claim 16.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which

are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Please see above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 11-3, 6-8 and 11-16.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the species recited in the different tables 3-6 differs in structure with different mode of actions and possibly function(s).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all



criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

**Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA WESSENDORF whose telephone number is (571)272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 1639

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is  
571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TERESA WESSENDORF/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639